

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/606,909

Applicant(s)

PETTIS ET AL.

Examiner

Michael J. Hayes

Art Unit

3763

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Michael J. Hayes.

(3) Jacqueline Benn

(2) Laura A. Coruzzi

(4) James Murtha

Date of Interview: 11/23/04

(5) Ron Pettis

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 29

Identification of prior art discussed: GROSS, Autret

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Applicant will address use of pressure to control
injection in view of wand factors, address critical
factors of the method. Applicant will file vce. Applicant
will show it is not inherent that delivery to the
intra dermal space gives higher Cmax + bioavailability

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

M J Hayes
Examiner's signature, if required